







# Targeted reduction of emissions in fattening pigs

## Michael Kropsch<sup>1</sup>, Klaus Gasteiger<sup>2</sup>, Andreas Jung<sup>3</sup>, Eduard Zentner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Höhere Bundeslehr- und Forschungsanstalt für Landwirtschaft Raumberg-Gumpenstein, A-8952 Irdning-Donnersbachtal <sup>2</sup> Jadis Additiva, NL-3111 Schiedam

<sup>3</sup> Solan Kraftfutterwerk Schmalwieser GmbH & Co.KG, A-4672 Bachmanning

#### Introduction

Livestock husbandry is in focus when it comes to "the polluters" and the required **reductions of airborne emissions**. Ammonia is emitted almost exclusively (around 95%) from agricultural sectors – a reduction, as required by the EU NEC Directive, can therefore only start here.

There are various ways to influence ammonia emissions from fattening pigs – for example **protein-adapted feeding** and the **addition of tested additives** in feed production. In cooperation with **Jadis Additiva**, two fattening periods were carried out to investigate the **influences** of two different **2-phase experimental feeds** on fattening performance and on the **release of ammonia in pig fattening**.

#### Ammonia emissions

During fattening period, there was seen a **continuous reduction of the ammonia concentration** in the **compartment**, whose animals were fed with **experimental feed II**. Over an entire fattening period, the average **ammonia content** in the **test** compartment was **5.8** ppm in the **control compartment** was an average concentration of **7.6 ppm**.



#### **Pig Research Stable**

The trials were carried out in 2021 in **the pig research stable of HBLFA Raumberg-Gumpenstein**, Austria. The forcibly ventilated fattening barn has three structurally completely separate compartments for the keeping of 138 fattening pigs each in 6 pens; the exhaust air is discharged via downstream exhaust air purification systems



Abb. 1: Pig Research Stable HBLFA Raumberg-Gumpenstein with air purification equipment on the right side of the building.

Abb. 2: Concentration curve Ammonia in total fattening period, Comparison Trial group II vs. Control

The corresponding ammonia **emission factor** for dispersion calculations is 2.2 kg **ammonia/animal place/year** in **experimental group II** -2.7 in the control group.

#### Performance

Important for the evaluation of an experimental feed is the **comparison** with the control feed on parameters weight gain, feed **consumption**, feed conversion and classification of **pig carcasses**.

Tria	111	Cor	ntrol
Av total gain	kg/week	Av total gain	kg/week
83,9	6,3	84,9	6,3
	g/day gain		g/day gain
	895		904

Tab. 3: Weight gain, average weekly and daily weight gain per animal

Feed / animal trial group II in kg	466,5	Av FCR Trial II	2,73
Feed/ animal control in kg	482,5	Av FCR control	2,77

Tab. 4: average feed intake per animal (FCR)

### Feeding

Trial group II

Control

2-phase feeding with two different experimental groups and a control group was examined; the compartment allocation changed in the mast passages to detect influences by the test compartments. The natural feed additive **Yucca Plus (Yucca Schidigera)**, in the concentration of 200g per 1000 kg compound feed, was added to **the trial feeds I and II**. The trial feed II also had a lower crude protein content. Feeding system Schauer Spot Mix.

Tab. 1: Trial feed II, Starter and Finisher diet

Feed	DM %	ME in MJ	CP %	Lysine %
Trial II	88,47	13,14	15,08	1,11
Starter				
Trial II	88,53	12,98	13,66	0,98
Finisher				
Tab. 2: Feed, Contr	ol group Starter and Fin	isher diet		
Feed	DM %	ME in MJ	<b>CP %</b>	Lysine %
Control	88,36	13,16	16,50	1,11
Control Starter	88,36	13,16	16,50	1,11

			Control	
Class	Number animals	%	Number animal	%
Sum S	127	47,4	170	65,6
Sum E	133	49,6	88	34,0
Sum U	6	2,2	1	0,4
Sum [ ]	2	0,7	0	0,0

Tab. 5: Classification lean meat content carcass, absolute numbers animnals and percent

#### Discussion

Both test feed I (results not shown here, available on request) and test feed II lead to lower ammonia emissions during fattening as well as better FCR. The mean total increases over the fattening period and the mean daily weight gains are somewhat below control (not significant) in experimental group II; this also applies to the classification of pig carcasses in the highest category S.

In a detailed economic analysis, it must be clarified whether fattening with feed of experimental group II (lower protein content, lower ammonia emissions, very good feed conversion and reduced total feed requirement) is more economical and sustainable and thus compensates for any disadvantages in the classification of pig carcasses and daily weight gains.